



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,203	10/09/2001	Timothy E. Bishop	4676-9	3568
23117 7590 07/16/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			EXAMINER MCCLENDON, SANZA L	
			ART UNIT 1711	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/16/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Response to Rule 312 Communication	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/972,203	BISHOP ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sanza L. McClendon	1711	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 30 May 2007 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

- a) ☐ entered.
- b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.
- c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

- d) ☒ disapproved. See explanation below.
- e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such as way as the reasonable convey to one of skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time of the invention was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment to the claims appears not to correspond with applicant's disclosure of page 38. Page 38 reads such that the composition according to applicant's invention cures faster than an identical comparative composition except that the 3 photoinitiators of the instant inventive composition has been replaced with an equal amount of 1-hydroxy-cyclohexyl-phenyl-ketone photoinitiator. In addition it is unclear exactly what a greater amount is intended to mean. A greater amount than what, especially when there is no disclosed starting amount of the identified photoinitiator defined/disclosed in the claim. There are no metes and bounds to greater.

Sanza L. McClendon
7/12/07

SANZA L. MCCLENDON
PATENT EXAMINER